

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

MICHAEL ANTHONY ABELS,

Plaintiff,

v.

HAROLD CLARKE., *et al.*,


Defendants.

Case No. C07-5303 RBL/KLS

ORDER REGARDING
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
CLARIFICATION (Dkt. # 31)

Before the Court is Defendants' motion for clarification (Dkt. # 31) of the Court's Order of October 26, 2007 (Dkt. # 30). After providing Plaintiff the opportunity to replead, the Court liberally interpreted Plaintiff's "Motion to Amend" (Dkt. # 27) as the Amended Complaint.¹ The Amended Complaint, which only names three defendants in the caption, filed at Dkt. # 29, should be read with the Supplement which Plaintiff filed at the direction of the Court. (Dkt. # 32).

DATED this 14th day of December, 2007.



Karen L. Strombom
United States Magistrate Judge

¹See also Dkt. # 27, where Plaintiff attaches a copy of his "Motion to Amend" as his Amended Complaint in response to Defendants' claim that Plaintiff failed to serve the Amended Complaint.